

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,971	01/	13/2004	John R. Bianchi	4002-3468	3778
30565	7590	04/17/2006		EXAM	INER
WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700			GHERBI, SUZI	ETTE JAIME J	
		PLIS, IN 46204-5137		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,971	BIANCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suzette J. Gherbi	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pation. Try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 January 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>63 and 70-114</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>63,70-101,103-107,109 and 1</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>102,108,110,111,113 and 114</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. 12 is/are rejected. is/are objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	4 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyate correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doe 2. Certified copies of the priority doe 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a he prionty documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	

Attack	nment(s)
1\\	Notice of

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 	n⊠	Notice of	References	Cited (PTO-892
---	----	-----------	------------	---------	---------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/04; 4/22/04.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/756,971 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant must update the specification "CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS section for the following: The status of serial no. 10/035,074 is now Patent 6,695,882.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 63 and 70-74, 76-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pafford et al. 6,371,988. Pafford discloses the invention as currently claimed noting figures 6-8, 24, 37 comprising: A method of providing a spacer (I.e. 20, 20', 40), the spacer having a body, a wall, wherein the wall has an outer surface including a concave portion (notice the concave section shown in figure along the wall) which defines a chamber (25), and a channel (49) defined in the wall in communication

Application/Control Number: 10/756,971

Art Unit: 3738

Page 3

with the chamber and the outer space; preparing the vertebrae and the intervertebral space between the vertebrae to receive the spacer; and placing the spacer into the space (see col. 8, lines 35-67, and col. 9, lines 1-67); wherein the outer surface includes a curved portion and a flattened portion (the flattened portion can be considered on the side of figure 7); wherein the graft is comprised of cortical bone col. 6, lines 41-48; ribs, grooves or threads; and osteogenic material packed with the cavity and around the device (see figures 24, 47-49).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Application/Control Number: 10/756,971

Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 5. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.
- 6. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 7. Claims 63, 70-71, 75, 98-101, 103-107, 109, and 112 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,695,882. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applicant has merely used synonyms that mean the same thing to describe the shape of the implant for example it is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that C-shaped equates to chamfered.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 102, 108, 110-111, 113 and 114 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,971

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J-J Gherbi whose work schedule is Maxi-Flex off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzette J-J Gherbi 09 April 2006